

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/802,188	Applicant(s) ORUI ET AL.	
	Examiner Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	Art Unit 2841	

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(2) David R. Metzger (Reg. 32,919).

Status of Application: Being allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2005

Time: -

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative and asked to amend independent claim 1, to more clearly define the invention to over come the prior art on record. Also, asked to amend independent claim 6, previously withdrawn from consideration, to include all limitations of patentable product claim 1, to be able to rejoin and examine for patentability. Applicant decided and gave authorization to amend claim 1 and 6 and to cancel claim 7.